arXiv:1507.05410v1 [math.RA] 20 Jul 2015

THE INVERSE ALONG AN ELEMENT IN RINGS

JULIO BENÍTEZ AND ENRICO BOASSO

Abstract. In this article several properties of the inverse along an element will be studied in the context of unitary rings. New characterizations of the existence of this inverse will be proved. Moreover, the set of all invertible elements along a fixed element will be fully described. Futhermore, commuting inverse along an element will be characterized. The special cases of the group inverse, the (generalized) Drazin inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse (in rings with involutions) will be also considered.

Keywords: Inverse along an element; Drazin inverse; Generalized Drazin inverse; Group inverse; Moore-Penrose inverse; Unitary ring

AMS classification: 15A09; 16U99; 16W10

1. Introduction

In [5] a notion of an inverse along an element was introduced. This inverse has the advantage that it encompasses several well known generalized inveses such as the group inverse, the Drazin inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse. The aforementioned inverse was studied by several authors (see for example [1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9]).

The main objective of this article is to study several properties of the inverse along an element in unitary rings. In section 3, after having recalled some preliminary definitions and facts in section 2, more equivalent conditions that assure the existence of the inverse under consideration will be given. In addition, in section 4 more characterizations of this inverse will be proved. In section 5, the set of all invertible elements along a fixed element will be fully described. Furthermore, the reverse order law and the special cases of the group inverse, the (generalized) Drazin inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse (in the presence of an involution) will be considered. In section 6 commuting inverse along an element will be characterized. In particular, a characterization of group invertible elements through the inverse along an element will be presented. Finally, in section 7 inverses along elements that are also inner inverses will be considered.

2. Preliminary definitions and facts

From now on $\mathcal{R}$ will denote a unitary ring with unit 1. Let $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ be the set of invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}$ and denote by $\mathcal{R}^\bullet$ the set of idempotents of $\mathcal{R}$, i.e., $\mathcal{R}^\bullet = \{p \in \mathcal{R} \colon p^2 = p\}$. Given $a \in \mathcal{R}$, the following notation will be used: $a\mathcal{R} = \{ax \colon x \in \mathcal{R}\}$, $\mathcal{R}a = \{xa \colon x \in \mathcal{R}\}$, $a^{-1}(0) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} \colon ax = 0\}$, $a_{-1}(0) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} \colon xa = 0\}$.

Recall that $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *regular*, if there is $z \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $a = aza$. In addition, the element z will be said to be an *inner* or a *generalized inverse* of a.

The set of regular elements of $\mathcal{R}$ will be denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Next follows one of the main definitions of this article.

Definition 2.1. *Given a unitary ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $a \in \mathcal{R}$, an element $b \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be an outer inverse of a, if $b = bab$.*

The following facts will be used in the article.

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider a, $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that b is an outer inverse of a. Then, the following statements can be easily proved:
(i) ab, $ba \in \mathcal{R}^{\bullet}$.
(ii) $bR = ba\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}ab = \mathcal{R}b$.
(iii) $b^{-1}(0) = (ab)^{-1}(0)$, $b_{-1}(0) = (ba)_{-1}(0)$.
(iv) When b is also an inner inverse of a, i.e., $a = aba$, it not difficult to prove that the following statements are equivalent:
 (1) $a = aba$,
 (2) $\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R} \oplus a^{-1}(0)$,
 (3) $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}b \oplus a_{-1}(0)$.

Next the definition of the key notion of this article will be recalled (see [5, Definition 4]).

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider a, $d \in \mathcal{R}$. The element a will be said to be invertible along d, if there exists $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that b is an outer inverse of a and $b\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}d$.*

Recall that, in the conditions of Definition 2.3, according to [5, Theorem 6], if such $b \in \mathcal{R}$ exists, then it is unique. Therefore, the element b satisfying Definition 2.3 will be said to be the *inverse of a along d*. In this case, the inverse under consideration will be denoted by $a^{\parallel d}$. Note that if $\tilde{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ is such that $d\mathcal{R} = \tilde{d}\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}d = \mathcal{R}\tilde{d}$, then a is invertible along d if and only if a is invertible along $\tilde{d}$, in addition, in this case $a^{\parallel d} = a^{\parallel \tilde{d}}$. In particular, given $d \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$, necessary and sufficient for $a \in \mathcal{R}$ to be invertible along d is that $a \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$; moreover, in this case $a^{\parallel d} = a^{-1}$. In fact, since $1\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}1 = \mathcal{R}d$, a is invertible alonf d if and only if a is invertible along 1, which is equivalent to $a \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$, and in this case $a^{\parallel 1} = a^{-1}$.

Moreover, according to [7, p. 3], if $a^{\parallel d}$ exists, then d is regular. In particular, if d is not regular, no $a \in \mathcal{R}$ has an inverse along d. Thus, without loss of generality it will be assumed that $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. However, more restriction on the element d can be stated, as the next remak will show.

Remark 2.4. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Note that if $d = 0$, then any $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is invertible along 0; in fact, in this case $a^{\parallel d} = 0$. Then suppose that $d \neq 0$ and that there exists $\overline{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d\overline{d}d = d$. Thus, $d(1 - \overline{d}d) = 0 = (1 - d\overline{d})d$. Therefore, if $d \in \mathcal{R}$ is not a zero divisor (there is no $z \in \mathcal{R}$, $z \neq 0$, such that $zd = 0$ or $dz = 0$), then $d \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$. Consequently, in the general case, it is possible to assume that $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus (\mathcal{R}^{-1} \cup \{0\})$, with d a zero divisor. However, if the ring $\mathcal{R}$ has no zero divisors, for example if $\mathcal{R}$ is a field, an integral domain or a polynomial ring over an integral domain, an inverse along an element

$d \in \mathcal{R}$ exists if and only if $d \in \mathcal{R}^{-1} \cup \{0\}$, in which case this situation has been characterized.

Next follow the definitions of several generalized inverses such as the group inverse, the (generalized) Drazin inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse. These classes of invertible elements are particular cases of the inverse studied in this article.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$. The element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ will be said to be *group invertible,* if there exists a (necessarily unique) $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$a = aba, \quad b = bab, \quad ab = ba$$

(see for example [8]). When $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is group invertible, its group inverse will be denoted by $a^\sharp$. Clearly, $a^\sharp$ is group invertible and $(a^\sharp)^\sharp = a$. According to [5, Theorem 11], necessary and sufficient for $a \in \mathcal{R}$ to be group invertible is that a is invertible along a, what is more, in this case $a^\sharp = a^{\parallel a}$. Next some of the main properties of group invertible elements will be recalled. To this end, let $\mathcal{R}^\sharp$ stand for the set of all group invertible elements of the ring $\mathcal{R}$. In addition, recall that if $\mathcal{R}$ is unitary ring and $p \in \mathcal{R}^\bullet$, then $p\mathcal{R}p$ is a subring of $\mathcal{R}$ with unit p.

Remark 2.5. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$.
(i). Note that

$$a\mathcal{R} = aa^\sharp\mathcal{R} = a^\sharp a\mathcal{R} = a^\sharp\mathcal{R},$$
$$\mathcal{R}a = \mathcal{R}aa^\sharp = \mathcal{R}a^\sharp a = \mathcal{R}a^\sharp,$$
$$aa^\sharp\mathcal{R}aa^\sharp = a^\sharp a\mathcal{R}a^\sharp a = a\mathcal{R}a = a^\sharp\mathcal{R}a^\sharp.$$

(ii). Recall that according to [8, Lemma 3], $a \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ if and only if there exists $p \in \mathcal{R}^\bullet$ such that $a + p \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$, $ap = pa = 0$. Now, using this result, it is not difficult to prove that necessary and sufficient for a to be group invertible is that there exists $p \in \mathcal{R}^\bullet$ such that $a = (1-p)a(1-p)$ and $a \in ((1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p))^{-1}$. In this case, if $b \in ((1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p))^{-1}$ is such that $ab = ba = 1-p$, then $a^\sharp = b$. Moreover, according to [8, Corollary 2], the idempotent involved in the definition of a group invertible element is unique, and if it is denoted by p_a, then $p_a = 1 - a^\sharp a$. In particular, $p_a = p_{a^\sharp}$.
(iii). Let $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and suppose that a has a commuting generalized inverse b, i.e., $aba = a$ and $ab = ba$. Then, it is not difficult to prove that $a \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ and $a^\sharp = bab$.
(iv). Let $a \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ and consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, an easy calculation proves that $a^n \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, $(a^n)^\sharp = (a^\sharp)^n$ and $p_{a^n} = p_a$.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$. The element a is said to be *Drazin invertible,* if there exists a (necessarily unique) $x \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$a^m xa = a^m, \; xax = x, \; ax = xa,$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see for example [2, 8]). In this case, the solution of these equations will be denoted by a^d and $\mathcal{R}^D$ will stand for the set of all Drazin invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}$. In addition, the smallest m for which the above equations hold is called the *Drazin index* of a and it will be denoted by $\operatorname{ind}(a)$. Note that $\operatorname{ind}(a) = 1$

if and only if a is group invertible. On the other hand, it is not difficult to prove that if $a \in \mathcal{R}^D$ and $\operatorname{ind}(a) = k$, then $a^k \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, $(a^k)^\sharp = (a^d)^k$ and $p_{a^k} = 1 - aa^d$. Furthermore, according to [5, Theorem 11], $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is Drazin invertible if and only if a is invertible along a^m, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, in this case, $a^d = a^{\|a^m}$.

Next the definition of generalized Drazin invertible elements will be recalled. However, to this end some preparation is needed.

Given $\mathcal{R}$ a unitary ring, an element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *quasinilpotent*, if for every $x \in \operatorname{comm}(a)$, $1 + xa \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$, where $\operatorname{comm}(a) = \{x \in \mathcal{R}\colon ax = xa\}$ (see [4, Definition 2.1]). The set of all quasinilpotent elements of $\mathcal{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{qnil}$. Note that if $\mathcal{R}^{nil}$ denotes the set of nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{R}$, then $\mathcal{R}^{nil} \subset \mathcal{R}^{qnil}$ (see [4]).

Recall that $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *generalized Drazin invertible,* if there exists $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$b \in \operatorname{comm}^2(a), \quad ab^2 = b, \quad a^2b - b \in \mathcal{R}^{qnil},$$

where $\operatorname{comm}^2(a) = \{x \in \mathcal{R}\colon xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in \operatorname{comm}(a)\}$ (see [4, Definition 4.1]). The set of all generalized Drazin invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}$ will be donted by $\mathcal{R}^{gD}$. Note that according to [4, Theorem 4.2], necessary and sufficient for $a \in \mathcal{R}^{gD}$ is that there exists $p \in \operatorname{comm}^2(a) \cap \mathcal{R}^\bullet$ such that $ap \in \mathcal{R}^{qnil}$ and $a + p \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$. Moreover, since according to [4, Proposition 2.3] this idempotent is unique, it is called the *spectral idempotent* of a and it is denoted by a^π. Furthermore, according to [4, Theorem 4.2], $a \in \mathcal{R}^{gD}$ has at most one generalized Drazin inverse, which will be denoted by a^D. In addition, in this case, $a^\pi = 1 - aa^D = 1 - a^Da$ ([4, Page 142].

On the other hand, note that $\mathcal{R}^\sharp \subset \mathcal{R}^D \subset \mathcal{R}^{gD}$. Moreover, if $a \in \mathcal{R}^D$, then $a^D = a^d$ and $a^\pi = 1 - aa^d$ ([4, Proposition 4.9 and Remark 4.10]), In particular, if $a \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, then $p_a = a^\pi$. Since the group inverse has a key role in this article, the spectral idempotent of a group invertible elment $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is denoted by p_a.

Recall that according to [6, Theorem 8], if $a \in \mathcal{R}^{gD}$, then a is invertible along $d = 1 - a^\pi$ and $a^{\|1-a^\pi} = a^D$.

The last generalized inverse that will be recalled in this section is the Moore-Penrose inverse.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring. An involution ${}^*\colon \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ is an anti-isomorphism:

$$(a + b)^* = a^* + b^*, \quad (ab)^* = b^*a^*, \quad (a^*)^* = a,$$

where a, $b \in \mathcal{R}$.

An element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *Moore-Penrose invertible,* if there exists a (necessarily unique) $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$aba = a, \quad bab = b, \quad (ab)^* = ab, \quad (ba)^* = ba.$$

The Moore-Penrose inverse of a is denoted by $a^\dagger$ and the set of all Moore-Penrose invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}^\dagger$ (see for example [4]). Recall that according to [5, Theorem 11], necessary and sufficient for $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ is that a is invertible along a^*. Moreover, in this case $a^{\|a^*} = a^\dagger$.

Finally, recall that $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ is said to be EP, if $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$ (see [4]). Let $\mathcal{R}^{EP}$ be the set of all EP elements in $\mathcal{R}$.

3. Equivalent conditions for the inverse along an element

In this section new conditions equivalent to the ones in Definition 2.3 will be given.

First of all note that if $\mathcal{R}$ is a ring and b, $d \in \mathcal{R}$ are such that $b\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$, then $b_{-1}(0) = d_{-1}(0)$; similarly from $\mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}d$ it can be derived that $b^{-1}(0) = d^{-1}(0)$. These conditions will be used to prove the invertibility along an element. Next follows a preliminary result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider b, $d \in \mathcal{R}$.*
(a) *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}$ be such that b is an outer inverse of a. Then the following statements hold.*
(i) *If $b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$, then $d = dab$; in particular $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$.*
(ii) *If $b_{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{-1}(0)$, then $d = bad$; in particular $d\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$.*
(b) *Suppose that $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then the following statements hold.*
(iii) *If $d^{-1}(0) \subseteq b^{-1}(0)$, then $\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$.*
(iv) *If $d_{-1}(0) \subseteq b_{-1}(0)$, then $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. (i). Since $b(1 - ab) = 0$, $1 - ab \in b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$. Thus, $d(1 - ab) = 0$.
(ii). Apply a similar argument to the one used in the proof of statement (i).
(iii). Let $\overline{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ be such that $d\overline{d}d = d$. Then d is an outer inverse of $\overline{d}$. Therefore, according to statement (i), $\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$.
(iv). Apply a similar argument to the one used in the proof of statement (iii), using statement (ii) instead of statement (i). □

In the following theorems, equivalent conditions to the ones in Definition 2.3 will be proved. These conditions will be presented in two different theorems to show when it is necessary to assume the regularity of the element $d \in \mathcal{R}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider a, b, $d \in \mathcal{R}$ be such that b is an outer inverse of a. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *b is the inverse of a algong d.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{R}d = \mathcal{R}b$, $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ and $b_{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{-1}(0)$.*
(iii) *$b\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ and $b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$.*
(iv) *$\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$, $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$, $b_{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{-1}(0)$ and $b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$.*

Proof. It is clear that statement (i) implies all the other statements.

On the other hand, to prove that statements (ii)-(iv) imply that b is the inverse of a along d, note that according to Proposition 3.1 (i) (respectively Proposition 3.1 (ii)), if $b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$ (respectively if $b_{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{-1}(0)$), then $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$ (respectively $d\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$). □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider a, b, $d \in \mathcal{R}$ be such that b is an outer inverse of a and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *b is the inverse of a along d.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{R}d = \mathcal{R}b$, $d\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$ and $d_{-1}(0) \subseteq b_{-1}(0)$.*
(iii) *$b\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$ and $d^{-1}(0) \subseteq b^{-1}(0)$.*
(iv) *$\mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}d$, $b_{-1}(0) = d_{-1}(0)$.*
(v) *$\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$, $d\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$, $b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$ and $d_{-1}(0) \subseteq b_{-1}(0)$.*

(vi) *$\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$, $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$, $b_{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{-1}(0)$ and $d^{-1}(0) \subseteq b^{-1}(0)$.*
(vii) *$\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$, $d\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$, $d^{-1}(0) \subseteq b^{-1}(0)$ and $d_{-1}(0) \subseteq b_{-1}(0)$.*
(viii) *$b\mathcal{R} = dR$, $b^{-1}(0) = d^{-1}(0)$.*
(ix) *$\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$, $b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$ and $b_{-1}(0) = d_{-1}(0)$.*
(x) *$\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$, $d^{-1}(0) \subseteq b^{-1}(0)$ and $b_{-1}(0) = d_{-1}(0)$.*
(xi) *$d\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$, $d_{-1}(0) \subseteq b_{-1}(0)$ and $b^{-1}(0) = d^{-1}(0)$.*
(xii) *$b\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$, $b_{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{-1}(0)$ and $b^{-1}(0) = d^{-1}(0)$.*
(xiii) *$b^{-1}(0) = d^{-1}(0)$ and $b_{-1}(0) = d_{-1}(0)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, statement (i) implies all the other statements.

To prove that statements (ii)-(xiii) imply that b is the inverse of a along d, note the following facts. According to Proposition 3.1 (i) (respectively Proposition 3.1 (ii)), if $b^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0)$ (respectively if $b_{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{-1}(0)$), then $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$ (respectively $d\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$). On the other hand, according to Proposition 3.1 (iii) (respectively Proposition 3.1 (iv)), if $d^{-1}(0) \subseteq b^{-1}(0)$ (respectively if $d_{-1}(0) \subseteq b_{-1}(0)$), then $\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ (respectively $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$). ☐

4. Further invertible elements

In this section, using ideas similar to the ones in [5, Theorem 7], more equivalent conditions to the existence of an inverse along an element will be given. What is more, thanks to these characterizations, given a unitary ring $\mathcal{R}$, $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ such that a is invertible along d, more elements invertible along d will be constructed.

First of all, note that if $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\overline{d}$ is a generalized inverse of d, then $d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d}$ (respectively $\overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d$) is a subring of $\mathcal{R}$ with identity $d\overline{d}$ (respectively $\overline{d}d$).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then, if $\overline{d}$ is a generalized inverse of d, the following conditions are equivalent.*
(i) *The element a is invertible along d.*
(ii) *$dad\overline{d} \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$.*
(iii) *$\overline{d}dad \in (\overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d)^{-1}$.*
(iv) *$dad\overline{d}$ is group invertible and $p_{dad\overline{d}} = 1 - d\overline{d}$.*
(v) *$\overline{d}dad$ is group invertible and $p_{\overline{d}dad} = 1 - \overline{d}d$.*
In addition, if $x \in d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d}$ (respectively $y \in \overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d$) is an inverse of $dad\overline{d}$ (respectively of $\overline{d}dad$), then $xd = a^{\|d}$ (respectively $dy = a^{\|d}$).

Proof. Suppose that $a^{\|d}$ exists. To prove statement (ii), first note that $dad\overline{d} = d\overline{d}dad\overline{d} \in d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d}$. Moreover, according to [5, Theorem 7], $d\overline{d}\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R} = da\mathcal{R}$. In particular, there is $u \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d\overline{d} = dau$. Furthermore, according to [5, Theorem 7], da is group invertible. As a result,

$$d\overline{d} = dau = da(da(da)^{\sharp}ud\overline{d}).$$

Thus, $z = da(da)^{\sharp}ud\overline{d} = d\overline{d}da(da)^{\sharp}ud\overline{d} \in d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d}$ and $d\overline{d} = daz = dad\overline{d}z$.

On the other hand, according again to [5, Theorem 7], $\mathcal{R}d = \mathcal{R}ad$ and ad is group invertible. In particular, there is $v \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d = vad$. Thus,

$$d\overline{d} = vad\overline{d} = (d\overline{d}v(ad)^{\sharp}ada)dad\overline{d} = (d\overline{d}v(ad)^{\sharp}adad\overline{d})dad\overline{d}.$$

Then, $w = d\bar{d}v(ad)^{\sharp}adad\bar{d} \in d\bar{d}\mathcal{R}d\bar{d}$ and $d\bar{d} = wdad\bar{d}$. Consequently, $dad\bar{d} \in (d\bar{d}\mathcal{R}d\bar{d})^{-1}$.

Suppose that stetement (ii) holds. Let $x \in d\bar{d}\mathcal{R}d\bar{d}$ such that

$$dad\bar{d}x = d\bar{d} = xdad\bar{d}.$$

Then, it will be proved that $xd = a^{\|d}$. First of all, note that

$$xdaxd = xdad\bar{d}xd = d\bar{d}xd = xd.$$

In addition, clearly $\mathcal{R}xd \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ and since $x = d\bar{d}x$, $xd\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$. Moreover, since $xdad\bar{d} = d\bar{d}$, $xdad = d$, which implies that $d\mathcal{R} \subseteq xd\mathcal{R}$. Similarly, since $dad\bar{d}x = d\bar{d}$, $dad\bar{d}xd = d$, which implies that $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}xd$.

To prove the equivalence between statements (ii) and (iv), apply Remark 2.5 (ii).

The equivalences among the statements (i), (iii) and (v) can be proved using similar arguments. □

Remark 4.2. Under the same hypotheses in Theorem 4.1 and using the same notation in this Theorem, note that since $xd = a^{\|d}$, $(dad\bar{d})^{-1}_{d\bar{d}\mathcal{R}d\bar{d}} = (dad\bar{d})^{\sharp} = x = a^{\|d}\bar{d}$. Similarly, since $dy = a^{\|d}$, $(\bar{d}dad)^{-1}_{\bar{d}d\mathcal{R}\bar{d}d} = (\bar{d}dad)^{\sharp} = y = \bar{d}a^{\|d}$.

Given a unitary ring $\mathcal{R}$, $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ such that a is invertible along d, applying Theorem 4.1 new elements invertible along d can be created.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then, if $\bar{d}$ is a generalized inverse of d, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *a is invertible along d.*
(ii) *$ad\bar{d}$ is invertible along d.*
(iii) *$\bar{d}da$ is invertible along d.*
Furthermore, in this case,

$$a^{\|d} = (ad\bar{d})^{\|d} = (\bar{d}da)^{\|d}.$$

Proof. Note that $dad\bar{d}d\bar{d} = dad\bar{d}$. Therefore, according to Theorem 4.1, statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

A similar argument proves the equivalence between statements (i) and (iii).

According to Theorem 4.1, if $x \in d\bar{d}\mathcal{R}d\bar{d}$ is an inverse of $dad\bar{d}$, then $a^{\|d} = xd$. In particular, since $dad\bar{d}d\bar{d} = dad\bar{d}$, $a^{\|d} = (ad\bar{d})^{\|d}$. A similar argument, using the inverse of $\bar{d}dad \in \bar{d}d\mathcal{R}\bar{d}d$, proves that $a^{\|d} = (\bar{d}da)^{\|d}$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then, if $\bar{d}$ is a generalized inverse of d, $a^{\|d}$ exists and $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$, the following statements hold.*
(i) *$a + x(1 - d\bar{d})$ is invertible along d.*
(ii) *$a + (1 - \bar{d}d)y$ is invertible along d.*
(iii) *$a + x(1 - d\bar{d}) + (1 - \bar{d}d)y$ is invertible along d.*
Moreover, $a^{\|d} = (a+x(1-d\bar{d}))^{\|d} = (a+(1-\bar{d}d)y)^{\|d} = (a+x(1-d\bar{d})+(1-\bar{d}d)y)^{\|d}$.

Proof. Since $ad\bar{d} = (a+x(1-d\bar{d}))d\bar{d}$, according to Corollary 4.3, $(a+x(1-d\bar{d}))^{\|d}$ exists and $a^{\|d} = (a+x(1-d\bar{d}))^{\|d}$. A similar argument proves that $a+(1-\bar{d}d)y$ is invertible along d and $a^{\|d} = (a + (1 - \overline{d}d)y)^{\|d}$. Statement (iii) and the remaining identity can be derived applying statements (i) and (ii). □

To end this section, the case $d \in \mathcal{R}^\bullet$ will be considered.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $p \in \mathcal{R}^\bullet$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *a is invertible along p.*
(ii) *ap is invertible along p.*
(iii) *pa is invertible along p.*
(iv) *$pap \in (p\mathcal{R}p)^{-1}$.*
(v) *pap is group invertible and $p_{pap} = 1 - p$.*
Furthermore, in this case,

$$a^{\|p} = (ap)^{\|p} = (pa)^{\|p} = (pap)^\sharp.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3. □

5. The set of invertible elements along a fixed $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$

In this section, given a unitary ring $\mathcal{R}$ and a regular element $d \in \mathcal{R}$, the set of all invertible elements along d will be fully characterized. Moreover, some special cases will be also considered. To this end, the set under consideration will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{\|d}$, i.e., $\mathcal{R}^{\|d} = \{a \in \mathcal{R}\colon a^{\|d} \text{ exits}\}$. Note that if $\tilde{d} \in \hat{R}$ is such that $d\mathcal{R} = \tilde{d}\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}d = \mathcal{R}\tilde{d}$, then $\mathcal{R}^{\|d} = \mathcal{R}^{\|\tilde{d}}$. Next follows the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider d and $\overline{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\overline{d}$ is a generalized inverse of d.*
(i) *Then, the following identity holds:*

$$\mathcal{R}^{\|d} = \overline{d}(d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1} + (1 - \overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} + \mathcal{R}(1 - d\overline{d}).$$

Moreover, given x, $y \in \mathcal{R}$ and $v \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$, then, if $w \in d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d}$ is such that $vw = wv = d\overline{d}$,

$$(\overline{d}v)^{\|d} = (\overline{d}v + (1 - \overline{d}d)xd\overline{d} + y(1 - d\overline{d}))^{\|d} = wd.$$

(ii) In addition,

$$\mathcal{R}^{\|d} = (\overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d)^{-1}\overline{d} + \overline{d}d\mathcal{R}(1 - d\overline{d}) + (1 - \overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}.$$

Furthermore, given s, $t \in \mathcal{R}$ and $z \in (\overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d)^{-1}$, then, if $u \in \overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d$ is such that $zu = uz = \overline{d}d$,

$$(z\overline{d})^{\|d} = (z\overline{d} + \overline{d}ds(1 - d\overline{d}) + (1 - d\overline{d})t)^{\|d} = du.$$

(iii) In particular, if $p \in \mathcal{R}^\bullet \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then

$$\mathcal{R}^{\|p} = (p\mathcal{R}p)^{-1} + p\mathcal{R}(1 - p) + (1 - p)\mathcal{R}p + (1 - p)\mathcal{R}(1 - p),$$

and if $r \in (p\mathcal{R}p)^{-1}$, $m \in (p\mathcal{R}(1-p)+(1-p)\mathcal{R}p+(1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p))$, and $l \in (p\mathcal{R}p)^{-1}$ is such that $rl = lr = p$, then

$$r^{\parallel p} = (r+m)^{\parallel p} = l.$$

Proof. (i). Let $v \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$ and consider $a = \overline{d}v$. Thus, $dad\overline{d} = d\overline{d}vd\overline{d} = v$. Consequently, according to Theorem 4.1, $a^{\parallel d}$ exists and $a^{\parallel d} = wd$. Moreover, according to Corollary 4.4, $(a + (1-\overline{d}d)xd\overline{d} + y(1-d\overline{d}))^{\parallel d}$ exists and $a^{\parallel d} = (a + (1-\overline{d}d)xd\overline{d} + y(1-d\overline{d}))^{\parallel d}$.

To prove the converse, let $a \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $a^{\parallel d}$ exists. Then

$$a = \overline{d}dad\overline{d} + (1-\overline{d}d)ad\overline{d} + a(1-d\overline{d}).$$

However, $\overline{d}dad\overline{d} = \overline{d}(dad\overline{d})$ and, according to Theorem 4.1, $dad\overline{d} \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$.
(ii). Apply a similar argument to the one used in the proof of statement (a).
(iii). Given $d = p \in \mathcal{R}^{\bullet} \setminus \{0,1\}$, consider $\overline{d} = p$. Then apply what has been prove. $\square$

Remark 5.2. Under the same hypotheses in Theorem 5.1 and using the same notation in this Theorem, note the following facts.

(i).$$\begin{aligned}(1-\overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} + \mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d}) &= \overline{d}d\mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d}) + (1-\overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}\\ &= (1-\overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} + \overline{d}d\mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d}) + (1-\overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d}).\end{aligned}$$

(ii). $\overline{d}(d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1} \subseteq \overline{d}d\mathcal{R}d\overline{d}$. In addition,

$$\overline{d}(d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1} \cap ((1-\overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} + \mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d})) = \emptyset,$$
$$(1-\overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} \cap \mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d}) = 0,\ \overline{d}d\mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d} \cap (1-\overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}(1-d\overline{d}) = 0.$$

(iii). In particular, given $p \in \mathcal{R}^{\bullet} \setminus \{0,1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(p\mathcal{R}p)^{-1} \cap (p\mathcal{R}(1-p) + (1-p)\mathcal{R}p + (1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p)) = \emptyset,\\ &p\mathcal{R}(1-p) \cap (1-p)\mathcal{R}p = p\mathcal{R}(1-p) \cap (1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p) =\\ &(1-p)\mathcal{R}p \cap (1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

(iv). The elements w, u and l in Theorem 5.1 can be presented as in Remark 4.2 (w and u) and Corollary 4.5 (l). For example, $w = (\overline{d}v)^{\parallel d}\overline{d}$, $u = \overline{d}(z\overline{d})^{\parallel d}$ and $l = r^{\sharp}$.

Applying Theorem 5.1 it is possible to give another characterization of the inverse along an element.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $d \in \hat{R}$. Then, if $\overline{d}$ is a generalized inverse of d and $a \in \mathcal{R}$, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *The element a is invertible along d.*
(ii) *There exist unique s, $t \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $a = \overline{d}s + t$, $s \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$, $p_s = 1 - d\overline{d}$ and $\overline{d}dtd\overline{d} = 0$. In addition, $a^{\parallel d} = s^{\sharp}d$.*

(iii) *There exist unique* u, $v \in \mathcal{R}$ *such that* $a = u\overline{d} + v$, $u \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$, $p_u = 1 - \overline{d}d$ *and* $\overline{d}dvd\overline{d} = 0$. *Moreover,* $a^{\parallel d} = du^{\sharp}$.
In particular, if $p \in \mathcal{R}^{\bullet}$, *necessary and sufficient for* $a \in \mathcal{R}^{p}$ *is that there exist unique* s, $t \in \mathcal{R}$ *such that* $a = s+t$, $s \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$, $p_s = 1-p$ *and* $ptp = 0$. *Furthermore,* $a^{\parallel p} = s^{\sharp}$.

Proof. If a is invertible along d, then according to Theorem 5.1 (i), there exist $s \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$ and $t \in (1 - \overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} + \mathcal{R}(1 - d\overline{d})$ such that $a = \overline{d}s + t$. Note that according to Remark 2.5 (ii) and Theorem 5.1 (i), $s \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$, $p_s = 1 - d\overline{d}$ and $a^{\parallel d} = s^{\sharp}d$. Moreover, $\overline{d}dtd\overline{d} = 0$.

On the other hand, if statement (ii) holds, then according to Remark 2.5 (ii), $s \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$ and $t \in (1 - \overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} + \mathcal{R}(1 - d\overline{d})$. Thus, according to Theorem 5.1 (i), $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\parallel d}$.

Let s_1, s_2 and t_1 and t_2 be such that $a = \overline{d}s_1 + t_1 = \overline{d}s_2 + t_2$, s_1, $s_2 \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$ and t_1, $t_2 \in (1 - \overline{d}d)\mathcal{R}d\overline{d} + \mathcal{R}(1 - d\overline{d})$. Then, $t_1 = t_2$ and $\overline{d}s_1 = \overline{d}s_2$. However, multiplying by d on the left side, $s_1 = s_2$.

Similar arguments prove the equivalence between statements (i) and (iii), applying in particular Theorem 5.1 (ii) instead of Theorem 5.1 (i).

The last statement can be proved using similar arguments and applying Theorem 5.1 (iii). ☐

Next the particular cases of group, (generalized) Drazin and commuting Moore-Penrose invertible elements will be considered.

Theorem 5.4. (a) *Let* $\mathcal{R}$ *be a unitary ring and consider* $a \in \mathcal{R}$.
(i) *If* $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *then*

$$\mathcal{R}^{\parallel a^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel (a^{\sharp})^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel 1 - p_a}.$$

In addition, if x *belongs to one of these sets, then* $x^{\parallel a^n} = x^{\parallel (a^{\sharp})^n} = x^{\parallel 1-p_a}$.
(ii) *If* $a \in \mathcal{R}^{D}$, $\mathrm{ind}(a) = k$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le j \le k-1$ *and* $m \ge k$, *then*

$$\mathcal{R}^{\parallel (a^d)^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel a^m} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel a^j a^d a} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel 1 - a^{\pi}}.$$

Moreover, if x *belongs to one of these sets, then* $x^{\parallel (a^d)^n} = x^{\parallel a^m} = x^{\parallel a^j a^d a} = x^{\parallel 1 - a^{\pi}}$.
(iii) *If* $a \in \mathcal{R}^{gD}$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *then*

$$\mathcal{R}^{\parallel (a^D)^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel a^n a^d a} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel 1 - a^{\pi}}.$$

Furthermore, if x *belongs to one of these sets, then* $x^{\parallel (a^d)^n} = x^{\parallel a^n a^d a} = x^{\parallel 1 - a^{\pi}}$.
(b) *Let* $\mathcal{R}$ *be a unitary ring with involution.*
(iv) *If* $a \in \mathcal{R}$ *is EP and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *then*

$$\mathcal{R}^{\parallel a^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel (a^{\dagger})^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel (a^*)^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel ((a^{\dagger})^*)^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\parallel aa^{\dagger}}.$$

If x *belongs to one of these sets, then* $x^{\parallel a^n} = x^{\parallel (a^{\dagger})^n} = x^{\parallel (a^*)^n} = x^{\parallel ((a^{\dagger})^*)^n} = x^{\parallel aa^{\dagger}}$.

Proof. (i). According to Theorem 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{\|a} &= a^\sharp((1-p_a)\mathcal{R}(1-p_a))^{-1} + p_a\mathcal{R}(1-p_a) + \mathcal{R}p_a,\\ \mathcal{R}^{\|a^\sharp} &= a((1-p_a)\mathcal{R}(1-p_a))^{-1} + p_a\mathcal{R}(1-p_a) + \mathcal{R}p_a,\\ \mathcal{R}^{\|1-p_a} &= ((1-p_a)\mathcal{R}(1-p_a))^{-1} + p_a\mathcal{R}(1-p_a) + \mathcal{R}p_a.\end{aligned}$$

However, since a and $a^\sharp \in ((1-p_a)\mathcal{R}(1-p_a))^{-1}$ (Remark 2.5 (ii)),

$$a^\sharp((1-p_a)\mathcal{R}(1-p_a))^{-1} = a((1-p_a)\mathcal{R}(1-p_a))^{-1} = ((1-p_a)\mathcal{R}(1-p_a))^{-1}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}^{\|a} = \mathcal{R}^{\|a^\sharp} = \mathcal{R}^{\|1-p_a}$. In addition, since according to Remark 2.5 (iv), $a^n \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, $(a^n)^\sharp = (a^\sharp)^n$ and $p_{a^n} = p_a$, applying what has been proved to a^n, $\mathcal{R}^{\|a^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\|(a^\sharp)^n} = \mathcal{R}^{\|1-p_a}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

Note that to prove the remaining statement, it is enoug to consider the case a group invertible. Let $a \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ and $x \in \mathcal{R}^{\|a}$. According to Theorem 5.1 (i) applied to $\overline{d} = a^\sharp$, $x^{\|a} = wa$, where $w \in (aa^\sharp\mathcal{R}aa^\sharp)^{-1}$ is such that $waxaa^\sharp = axaa^\sharp w = aa^\sharp$. On the other hand, according to Theorem 5.1 (iii) applied to $aa^\sharp$, $x^{\|aa^\sharp} = v$, where $v \in (aa^\sharp\mathcal{R}aa^\sharp)^{-1}$ is such that $vaa^\sharp xaa^\sharp = aa^\sharp xaa^\sharp v = aa^\sharp$. Now well, since $a = aaa^\sharp$

$$waaa^\sharp xaa^\sharp = vaa^\sharp xaa^\sharp.$$

However, since $aa^\sharp xaa^\sharp \in (aa^\sharp\mathcal{R}aa^\sharp)^{-1}$,

$$x^{\|a} = wa = v = x^{\|aa^\sharp}.$$

(ii). Recall that acording to Remark 2.5 (iii). $a^d \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, $(a^d)^\sharp = aa^da$ and $p_{a^d} = a^\pi$. In addition, if $1 \le j \le k-1$ and $m \ge k$ ($k = \mathrm{ind}(a)$), then it is not difficult to prove that $((a^d)^\sharp)^j = a^ja^da$ and $((a^d)^\sharp)^m = a^m$. To prove statement (ii), apply statement (i) to a^d.
(iii). As in the proof of statement (ii), acording to Remark 2.5 (iii). $a^D \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, $(a^D)^\sharp = aa^Da$ and $p_{a^D} = a^\pi$. Moreover, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $((a^D)^\sharp)^n = a^na^Da$. Then, apply statement (i) to a^D.
(iv). If $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is EP, then a, $a^\dagger$, a^* and $(a^\dagger)^* \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$. Note that $a^\sharp = a^\dagger$ and $(a^*)^\sharp = (a^\dagger)^*$. Moreover, $p_a = p_{a^\dagger} = p_{a^*} = p_{(a^\dagger)^*} = 1 - aa^\dagger$. Then, apply statement (i). ☐

Next a characterization of invertible elements along a group invertible element will be considered.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $d \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$ and $a \in \mathcal{R}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *The element a is invertible along d.*
(ii) *There exist unique s, $t \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $a = s + t$, $s \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, $p_s = p_d$ and $(1-p_d)t(1-p_d) = 0$. Moreover, $a^{\|d} = s^\sharp$.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 5.4 (i) and Theorem 5.3. ☐

Remark 5.6. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring. Clearly, if $d \in \mathcal{R}^{-1} \cup \mathcal{R}^\bullet$, then Corollary 5.5 applies to d. In addition, according to the proof of Theorem 5.4, Corollary 5.5 applies to $d = x^n$ ($x \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$), to $d = x^nx^Dx$ ($x \in \mathcal{R}^{gD}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$) or to $d = y^j$ ($y \in \mathcal{R}^D$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \geq \text{ind}(y)$). Moreover, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a unital ring with an involution, according again to Theorem 5.4, Corollary 5.5 applies to $d = x^n$, $d = (x^\dagger)^n$, $d = (x^*)^n$ or $d = ((x^*)^\dagger)^n$ ($x \in \mathcal{R}$ an EP element, $n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Given a unitary ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$, a, $b \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$ will be said *to satisfy the reverse order law,* if $ab \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$ and $(ab)^{\|d} = b^{\|d}a^{\|d}$. In the following theorem the elements $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ such that the reverse order law holds for every pair of elements of $\mathcal{R}^{\|d}$ will be characterized. Note that the next Theorem also provides a characterization of group invertible elements.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $d \in \hat{R}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *The reverse order law holds for any a and $b \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$.*
(ii) *The element d is group invertible.*

Proof. Let $\overline{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d = d\overline{d}d$. According to Theorem 5.1 (i), $\overline{d}d\overline{d} \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$, what is more, $(\overline{d}d\overline{d})^{\|d} = d$.

Suppose that statement (i) holds and consider $a = \overline{d}d\overline{d} = b$. Then, $ab \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$ and according to Theorem 5.1 (i)-(ii), there exist $x \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$ and $u \in (\overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d)^{-1}$ such that $(ab)^{\|d} = yd = dv$, where $y \in (d\overline{d}\mathcal{R}d\overline{d})^{-1}$ and $v \in (\overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d)^{-1}$ are such that $xy = yx = d\overline{d}$ and $uv = vu = \overline{d}d$. As a result,

$$d^2 = b^{\|d}a^{\|d} = (ab)^{\|d} = yd = dv.$$

Therefore, $d = xd^2$ and $d = d^2u$. However, according to [2, Theorem 4], $d \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$.

To prove the converse, note that according to Theorem 5.4 (i), it is enough to consider the case $p \in \mathcal{R}^\bullet$, $p \neq 0, 1$. In addition, according to Theorem 5.1 (iii), there exist v and $w \in (p\mathcal{R}p)^{-1}$ and s and $t \in p\mathcal{R}(1-p)+(1-p)\mathcal{R}p+(1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p)$ such that $a = v + s$, $b = w + t$, $a^{\|p} = l$ and $b^{\|p} = m$, where $vl = lv = p$ and $wm = mw = p$. However, a direct calculation shows that there is $z \in p\mathcal{R}(1-p)+(1-p)\mathcal{R}p+(1-p)\mathcal{R}(1-p)$ such that $ab = vw+z \in \mathcal{R}^{\|p}$. Furthermore, according to Theorem 5.1 (iii), $(ab)^{\|p} = ml = b^{\|p}a^{\|p}$. $\square$

In the following theorem, given a unitary ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$, the invertibility along elements related to d will be studied.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then, if $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}$, the following statements are equivalents.*
(i) $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$.
(ii) $au^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}^{\|ud}$.
(iii) $u^{-1}a \in \mathcal{R}^{\|du}$.
Moreover, $\mathcal{R}^{\|ud} = \mathcal{R}^{\|d}u^{-1}$, $\mathcal{R}^{\|du} = u^{-1}\mathcal{R}^{\|d}$ and if one of the statements holds, then $(au^{-1})^{\|ud} = ua^{\|d}$ and $(u^{-1}a)^{\|du} = a^{\|d}u$.

Proof. In first place note that if $d \in \hat{R}$, then $ud \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. In fact, if $\overline{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ is such that $d = d\overline{d}d$, then $ud = ud(\overline{d}u^{-1})ud$. In addition, since $\overline{d}u^{-1}ud = \overline{d}d$, $(\overline{d}u^{-1}ud\mathcal{R}\overline{d}u^{-1}ud)^{-1} = (\overline{d}d\mathcal{R}\overline{d}d)^{-1}$ and $\overline{d}u^{-1}udau^{-1}ud = \overline{d}dad$. Consequently, according to Theorem 4.1, statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Furthermore, applying Theorem 5.1 (ii), a direct calculation proves that $\mathcal{R}^{ud} = \mathcal{R}^{\|d}u^{-1}$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$. Then, according to Theorem 5.1 (ii), there exist $z \in (\bar{d}d\mathcal{R}\bar{d}d)^{-1}$ and $m \in \bar{d}d\mathcal{R}(1-d\bar{d}) + (1-\bar{d}d)\mathcal{R}$ such that $a = z\bar{d} + m$ and $a^{\|d} = (z\bar{d})^{\|d} = dw$, where $w \in \bar{d}d\mathcal{R}\bar{d}d$ is such that $zw = wz = \bar{d}d$. Thus, $au^{-1} = z\bar{d}u^{-1} + mu^{-1}$ and according to Theorem 5.1 (ii), $(au^{-1})^{\|ud} = (z\bar{d}u^{-1})^{\|ud} = udw = ua^{\|d}$.

The equivalence between statements (i) and (iii) and the remaining identities can be proved using similar arguments. ☐

6. Commutative inverses along an element

In this section, given a unitary ring $\mathcal{R}$, $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$, it will be characterized when $aa^{\|d} = a^{\|d}a$. Note that in this case, since $a^{\|d}aa^{\|d} = a^{\|d}$, $a^{\|d} \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$, moreover, $(a^{\|d})^{\sharp} = a^2a^{\|d}$ (see Remark 2.5 (iii)). Next follows the first characterization of this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$. Then, the following statements are equivalents.*
(i) $aa^{\|d} = a^{\|d}a$.
(ii) $d \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$ *and* $ap_d = p_da$.
(iii) $d \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$ *and* $a = x + m$, *where* $x \in ((1-p_d)\mathcal{R}(1-p_d))^{-1}$ *and* $m \in p_d\mathcal{R}p_d$.

Proof. Note that according to Corollary 5.5, statement (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

Let $\bar{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d = d\bar{d}d$. Then, applying Theoem 5.1 (i), it is not difficult to prove that

$$aa^{\|d} = \bar{d}d + (1-\bar{d}d)aa^{\|d},$$
$$a^{\|d}a = d\bar{d} + a^{\|d}a(1-d\bar{d}).$$

Suppose that $aa^{\|d} = a^{\|d}a$. Then,

$$\bar{d}d = d\bar{d} + a^{\|d}a(1-d\bar{d}) - (1-\bar{d}d)aa^{\|d}.$$

In particular, multiplying by d on the left:

$$d = d^2\bar{d} + da^{\|d}a(1-d\bar{d}).$$

Since $a^{\|d} \in d\mathcal{R}$, there is $x \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$d = d^2x.$$

Similarly, since

$$d\bar{d} = \bar{d}d + (1-\bar{d}d)aa^{\|d} - a^{\|d}a(1-d\bar{d}),$$

multiplying by d on the right,

$$d = \bar{d}d^2 + (1-\bar{d}d)aa^{\|d}d.$$

Since $a^{\|d} \in \mathcal{R}d$, there is $y \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$d = yd^2.$$

Therefore, according to [2, Theorem 4], $d \in \mathcal{R}^{\sharp}$.

Next consider $d^\sharp$. Note that according to the structure of $a^{\|d}$ presented in Theorem 5.1 (i) (using $\overline{d} = d^\sharp$), it is easy to prove that

$$a^{\|d}dd^\sharp = a^{\|d} = dd^\sharp a^{\|d},$$

equivalently

$$a^{\|d}p_d = 0 = p_d a^{\|d}.$$

Consequently, $p_d a a^{\|d} = 0 = a^{\|d} a p_d$ and

$$aa^{\|d} = a^{\|d}a = dd^\sharp + a^{\|d}a(1 - dd^\sharp) = dd^\sharp + a^{\|d}ap_d = dd^\sharp = d^\sharp d.$$

As a result,

$$add^\sharp = aa^{\|d}a = dd^\sharp a,$$

which implies that $ap_d = p_d a$.

To prove the converse, recall that according to Theorem 5.4 (i), $\mathcal{R}^{\|d} = \mathcal{R}^{\|1-p_d}$. Moreover, since $ap_d = p_d a$, according to Theorem 5.1 (iii), there exist $x \in (dd^\sharp \mathcal{R} dd^\sharp)^{-1}$ and $m \in p_d \mathcal{R} p_d$ such that $a = x + m$. Moreover, according to Theorem 5.1 (iii), $a^{\|d} = w$, where $w \in dd^\sharp \mathcal{R} dd^\sharp$ is such that $xw = wx = dd^\sharp$. However, a straightforward calculation proves that

$$aa^{\|d} = xw = wx = a^{\|d}a = dd^\sharp.$$

□

Thanks to Theorem 6.1, a characterization of group invertible elements in terms of commuting inverse along an element can be derived.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then, the following statements are equivalents.*
(i) *$d \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$.*
(ii) *There exists $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\|d}$ such that $aa^{\|d} = a^{\|d}a$.*

Proof. If $d \in \mathcal{R}^\sharp$, then consider $a = d$. In fact, according to [5, Theorem 11], $a^{\|d}$ exists, what is more, $a^{\|d} = d^\sharp$.

On the other hand, if statements (ii) holds, then apply Theorem 6.1. □

Next follows the second characterization of this section.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ such that a is invertible along d. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *$a^{\|d}a = aa^{\|d}$.*
(ii) *$da \in \mathcal{R}d$ and $ad \in d\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{d} \in \mathcal{R}$ be such that $d = d\overline{d}d$. Recall that according to [7, Theorem 3.2], $da + 1 - d\overline{d}$ and $ad + 1 - \overline{d}d$ are invertible in $\mathcal{R}$ and $a^{\|d} = (da + 1 - d\overline{d})^{-1}d = d(ad + 1 - \overline{d}d)^{-1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\|d}a = aa^{\|d} &\Leftrightarrow (da + 1 - d\overline{d})^{-1}da = ad(ad + 1 - \overline{d}d)^{-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow da(ad + 1 - \overline{d}d) = (da + 1 - d\overline{d})ad \\ &\Leftrightarrow da(1 - \overline{d}d) = (1 - d\overline{d})ad. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $a^{\|d}a = aa^{\|d}$. If the last equality is multiplied on the left by $d\bar{d}$, then $da(1 - d^{-}d) = 0$. Thus, $(1 - dd^{-})ad = 0$. Therefore, $da = da\bar{d}d \in \mathcal{R}d$ and $ad = d\bar{d}ad \in d\mathcal{R}$.

To prove the converse, suppose that $da \in \mathcal{R}d$. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $da = ud$. Consequently, $da\bar{d}d = ud\bar{d}d = ud = da$. Similarly, $ad \in d\mathcal{R}$ implies that $d\bar{d}ad = ad$. As a result, $da(1 - \bar{d}d) = 0 = (1 - d\bar{d})ad$, which is equivalent to $a^{\|d}a = aa^{\|d}$.

□

Remark 6.4. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring with involution and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ a Moore-Penrose invertible element. From Theorem 6.3 follows that necessary and sufficient for a to be EP is that $aa^* \in a^*\mathcal{R}$ and $a^*a \in \mathcal{R}a^*$.

7. Inner inverse

In this section inverses along an element that are also inner inverses will be studied. Next follows a characterization of this object.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ such that a is invertible along d. The following statements are equivalent:*
(i) *$a^{\|d}$ is an inner inverse of a.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R} \oplus a^{-1}(0)$.*
(iii) *$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}d \oplus a_{-1}(0)$.*

Proof. Since a is invertible along d, $a^{\|d}\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}a^{\|d} = \mathcal{R}d$. Apply then Remark 2.2 (iv). □

In the following theorem some special cases will be considered.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ such that a is invertible along d and $a^{\|d}$ is an inner inverse of a. The following statements hold.*
(i) *If $\bar{d}$ is an inner inverse of d, then $a^{\|d}$ is an inner and outer inverse of $\bar{d}dad\bar{d}$.*
(ii) *If d is group invertible, then $a^{\|d} = (d^{\#}dadd^{\#})^{\#}$.*
(iii) *If the ring $\mathcal{R}$ has an involution and d is Moore-Penrose invertible, then $a^{\|d} = (d^{\dagger}dadd^{\dagger})^{\dagger}$.*

Proof. Note that according to Definition 2.3, $a^{\|d}\bar{d}d = a^{\|d} = d\bar{d}a^{\|d}$.
(i). From the previous observation,

$$a^{\|d}(\bar{d}dad\bar{d})a^{\|d} = (a^{\|d}\bar{d}d)a(d\bar{d}a^{\|d}) = a^{\|d}aa^{\|d} = a^{\|d}$$

and

$$(\bar{d}dad\bar{d})a^{\|d}(\bar{d}dad\bar{d}) = \bar{d}da(d\bar{d}a^{\|d}\bar{d}d)ad\bar{d} = \bar{d}daa^{\|d}ad\bar{d} = \bar{d}dad\bar{d}.$$

(ii). It remains to prove that $a^{\|d}(d^{\sharp}dadd^{\sharp}) = (d^{\sharp}dadd^{\sharp})a^{\|d}$. This follows from $a^{\|d}(d^{\sharp}dadd^{\sharp}) = (a^{\|d}d^{\sharp}d)add^{\sharp} = a^{\|d}add^{\sharp} = dd^{\sharp}$ and $(d^{\sharp}dadd^{\sharp})a^{\|d} = d^{\sharp}daa^{\|d} = d^{\sharp}d$ (Theorem 5.1 (i)-(ii)).
(iii). It is enough to prove that $a^{\|d}(d^{\dagger}dadd^{\dagger})$ and $(d^{\dagger}dadd^{\dagger})a^{\|d}$ are Hermitian. In fact, $a^{\|d}(d^{\dagger}dadd^{\dagger}) = dd^{\dagger}$ and $(d^{\dagger}dadd^{\dagger})a^{\|d} = d^{\dagger}d$. □

In particular, when EP elements are considered, new expressions of the group and Moore-Penrose inverse can be obtained.

Corollary 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring with an involution and let $a \in \mathcal{R}$ be EP. The following statements hold.*
(i) $a^{\dagger} = ((aa^{\sharp})^{*} a (a^{\sharp} a)^{*})^{\sharp}$.
(ii) $a^{\sharp} = (a^{\dagger} a^{3} a^{\dagger})^{\dagger}$.

Proof. Recall that $a^{\sharp} = a^{\dagger}$, $a^{\dagger} = a^{\parallel a^{*}}$ and $a^{\sharp} = a^{\parallel a}$ ([5, Theorem 11]). In addition, recall that a^{*} is group invertible and $(a^{*})^{\sharp} = (a^{\sharp})^{*}$. Apply then Theorem 7.2. ☐

To present more expressions of the group and the Moore-Penrose inverse, the following theorem will be useful.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ such that a invertible along d. Then, if x is an inner inverse of dad, $a^{\parallel d} = dxd$.*

Proof. Since $dadxdad = dad$, $d(adxdad - ad) = 0$. According to Theorem 3.3 (xiii), $a^{\parallel a}(adxdad - ad) = 0$, i.e., $a^{\parallel a} adxdad = a^{\parallel a} ad$. According again to Theorem 3.3 (xiii), $a^{\parallel a} adxdaa^{\parallel a} = a^{\parallel a} aa^{\parallel a} = a^{\parallel a}$. However, according to [5, Lemma 3], $a^{\parallel a} ad = d = daa^{\parallel a}$. Therefore, $dxd = a^{\parallel a}$. ☐

Corollary 7.5. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unitary ring and consider $a \in \mathcal{R}$. The following statements hold.*
(i) *If a is group invertible and $\overline{a}$ is an inner inverse of a, then $a\overline{a}a^{\sharp} = a^{\sharp} = a^{\sharp}\overline{a}a$.*
(ii) *If a is group invertible and x is an inner inverse of a^{3}, then $a^{\sharp} = axa$.*
If in addition $\mathcal{R}$ has an involution, then the following statements hold.
(iii) *If a is Moore-Penrose invertible and $\overline{a}$ is an inner inverse of a, then $a^{\dagger}(a\overline{a})^{*} = a^{\dagger} = (\overline{a}a)^{*}a^{\dagger}$.*
(iv) *If a is Moore-Penrose invertible and x is an inner inverse of $a^{*}aa^{*}$, then $a^{\dagger} = a^{*}xa^{*}$.*

Proof. To prove statement (i) (respectively statement (iii)) recall that according to [5, Theorem 11] $a^{\sharp} = a^{\parallel a}$ (respectively $a^{\dagger} = a^{\parallel a^{*}}$). Then apply $a^{\parallel d}\overline{d}d = a^{\parallel d} = d\overline{d}a^{\parallel d}$ (see the proof of Theorem 7.2) to $d = a$ and $\overline{d} = \overline{a}$ (respectively $d = a^{*}$ and $\overline{d} = (\overline{a})^{*}$).

To prove statement (iii) (respectively statement (iv)), use that $a^{\sharp} = a^{\parallel a}$ (respectively $a^{\dagger} = a^{\parallel a^{*}}$) ([5, Theorem 11]) and then apply Theorem 7.4 with $d = a$ (respectively $d = a^{*}$). ☐

References

1. M. Chō and G. Kantún-Montiel, Commuting outer inverses, Advances in Linear Algebra and Matrix Theory 2013, 3, 69-72.
2. M. P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958), 506-514.
3. G. Kantún-Montiel, Outer generalized inverses with prescribed ideals, Linear Multilinear Algebra 62 (2014), 1187-1196.
4. J. J. Koliha and P. Patricio, Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 72 (2002), 137-152.
5. X. Mary, On generalized inverse and Green's relations, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011), 1836-1844.

6. X. Mary, Natural generalized inverse anc core of an element in semigroups, rings and Banach and operator algebras, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (2012), 160-173.
7. X. Mary and P. Patricio, Generalized inverses modulo $\mathcal{H}$ in semigroups and rings, Linear Multilinar Algebra 61 (2013), 1130-1135.
8. S. Roch and B. Silbermann, Continuity of generalized inverses in Banach algebras, Studia Math. 136 (1999), 197-227.
9. H. Zhu, J. Chen and P. Patricio (2015), Further results on the inverse along an element in semigroups and rings, Linear Multilinear Algebra, DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2015.1043716.

Julio Benítez
E-mail address: jbenitez@mat.upv.es

Enrico Boasso
E-mail address: enrico_odisseo@yahoo.it